## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

WENDY DAVIS; MARC VEASEY;	)
ROY BROOKS; VICKY BARGAS;	)
PAT PANGBURN; FRANCES DELEON;	)
DOROTHY DEBOSE; and SARAH	)
JOYNER	)
5	)
Plaintiffs	)
	)
v.	
RICK PERRY, in his official capacity	)
as Governor of the State of Texas;	)
JOHN STEEN, in his official	)
capacity as Secretary of State of the	)
State of Texas; BOYD RICHIE, in his	)
official capacity as Chair of the Texas	)
Democratic Party; and STEVE MUNISTERI,	)
in his official capacity as Chair of the	)
Republican Party of Texas	)
1 ,	)
	/

CIVIL ACTION NO. SA-11-CA-788-OLG-JES-XR CONSOLIDATED ACTION [Lead case]

Defendants

## FINAL JUDGMENT

)

This Court previously ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

that Plaintiffs' request for declaratory relief was granted to the extent that Senate plan S100, the benchmark plan, violates the one-person, one-vote requirements of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and will not be used for any further elections;

that Plaintiffs' request for injunctive relief was granted such that Senate plan S148, the 2011 enacted plan, has been permanently enjoined from implementation and no elections have been or will be held thereunder; and

that Plan S172, which was reviewed under the standard set forth in *Perry v. Perez* and restored district 10 to near benchmark configuration and remedied the constitutional infirmities being asserted by Plaintiffs, was to be used for the 2012 election.

## Case 5:11-cv-00788-OLG-JES-XR Document 190 Filed 09/04/13 Page 2 of 2

It is further ORDERED, ADJUDGED and DECREED:

that because (1) Plan S148 has been repealed, (2) Plaintiffs agree that Plan S172 does not violate the Voting Rights Act or the Constitution, and (3) Plaintiffs do not seek any further relief with regard to Plan S148, Plaintiffs' remaining claims under § 2 of the Voting Rights Act and the Constitution are DISMISSED AS MOOT; and

that, as prevailing parties, Plaintiffs are awarded their reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 54(d)(2) and W.D. Tex. Rule CV-7(j), Plaintiffs may file their applications for attorneys' fees and costs no later than twenty days after entry of judgment. If the application is opposed in whole or in part, a response in opposition shall be filed no later than ten days after the filing of the application.

SIGNED AND ENTERED this 4th day of September, 2013.

\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_ JERRY E. SMITH UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

\_\_/s/\_\_\_ ORLANDO L. GARCIA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_

XAVIER RODRIGUEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE